

Peggy Hunt (Utah State Bar No. 6060)
Milo Steven Marsden (Utah State Bar No. 4879)
Nathan S. Seim (Utah State Bar No. 12654)
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
136 South Main Street, Suite 1000
Salt Lake City, UT 84101-1685
Telephone: (801) 933-7360
Email: hunt.peggy@dorsey.com
marsden.steve@dorsey.com
seim.nathan@dorsey.com

Gregory Adams (Utah State Bar No. 6159)
McKAY, BURTON & THURMAN
170 South Main St., Ste. 800
Salt Lake City, UT 84101
Telephone: (801) 521-4135
Email: gadams@mbt-law.com
*Counsel for D. Ray Strong, Liquidating
Trustee of the CAOP I Trust*

*Attorneys for D. Ray Strong, Liquidating Trustee
of the Consolidated Legacy Trust*

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

In re:

CASTLE ARCH REAL ESTATE
INVESTMENT COMPANY, LLC; CAOP
MANAGERS, LLC; CASTLE ARCH
KINGMAN, LLC; CASTLE ARCH
SECURED DEVELOPMENT FUND, LLC,
CASTLE ARCH SMYRNA, LLC; CASTLE
ARCH STAR VALLEY, LLC;
CASTLE ARCH OPPORTUNITY
PARTNERS I, LLC; *and* CASTLE ARCH
OPPORTUNITY PARTNERS II, LLC,

Debtors.

D. RAY STRONG, as Trustee of the
Consolidated Legacy Debtors Liquidating
Trust and the Castle Arch Opportunity Partners
I, LLC Liquidating Trust,

Plaintiff,

v.

Michael Buckner,

Defendant.

Case Nos. 11-35082, 11-35237,
11-35243, 11-35242 and 11-35246
(Substantively Consolidated)

Case Nos. 11-35241 and 11-35240
(Jointly Administered)

(Chapter 11)

The Honorable Joel T. Marker

Adversary Proceeding No. _____

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff D. Ray Strong, in his capacity as Liquidating Trustee (the “Trustee” or “Plaintiff”) of the Consolidated Legacy Debtors Liquidating Trust (the “Legacy Trust”), the Castle Arch Opportunity Partners I, LLC Liquidating Trust (the “CAOP I Trust”) and the Castle Arch Opportunity Partners II, LLC Liquidating Trust (the “CAOP II Trust” and, collectively with the Legacy Trust and the CAOP I Trust, the “Trusts”), hereby files this Complaint for or on behalf of the Consolidated Legacy Debtors (defined below) and CAOP I (defined below) against Defendant Michael Buckner (“Defendant”), and states, alleges and avers as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. On October 17, 2011, Debtor Castle Arch Real Estate Investment Company, LLC (“CAREIC”) filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Utah (the “Court”), thus commencing Bankruptcy Case No. 11-35082 noted in the above caption. On October 20, 2011, Debtors CAOP Managers, LLC (“CAOP Managers”), Castle Arch Kingman, LLC (“CAK”), Castle Arch Secured Development Fund, LLC (“CASDF”), Castle Arch Smyrna, LLC (“CAS”), Castle Arch Opportunity Partners I, LLC (“CAOP I”) and Castle Arch Opportunity Partners II, LLC (“CAOP II”) (collectively, the “CAREIC Affiliates” and together with CAREIC, the “Debtors”) also filed petitions seeking relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the Court, thus commencing the other bankruptcy cases noted in the caption above, which cases are being jointly administered and/or have been substantively consolidated with CAREIC’s bankruptcy case (CAREIC’s bankruptcy case, along with bankruptcy cases of the CAREIC Affiliates, are referred to herein collectively as the “Bankruptcy Case”).

2. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a) and 1334(b).

3. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

4. On June 7, 2013, the Bankruptcy Court entered, among other things, an *Order Confirming Chapter 11 Trustee's First Amended Plan of Liquidation Dated February 25, 2013 as Modified* [Main Case Docket No. 705] (the "Confirmation Order"), thus confirming the Chapter 11 plan styled as *Second Amended Chapter 11 Trustee's Plan of Liquidation Dated February 25, 2013* [Main Case Docket No. 701] (the "Confirmed Plan"), pursuant to which the Bankruptcy Court retains jurisdiction over this proceeding, which arises under the Bankruptcy Code and arises in and is related to the Bankruptcy Case. Confirmed Plan, Art. X; *Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in Support of Confirmation Order* [Main Case Docket No. 704] (the "Findings and Conclusions"), ¶ R.

5. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff is the post-confirmation estate representative for each of the Debtors and is the duly appointed Trustee for each of the Trusts, and in that capacity may bring proceedings for and on behalf of the Trusts, including all of the Debtors' Claims and Causes of Action that have been transferred to the Trusts under the Confirmed Plan. Confirmed Plan, Art. VI; Confirmation Order ¶¶ 2, 4-6; Findings and Conclusions ¶¶ I, L-M; Trust Agreements [Main Case Docket No. 677], Arts. 1-2.

7. Upon information and belief, Defendant is an individual residing in the State of Utah.

FACTS

The Court's Consolidation Findings and Conclusions

8. On February 8, 2013, the Court entered an *Order Granting Chapter 11 Trustee's Motion to Substantively Consolidate* CAOP Managers, CAK, CASDF, CAS and non-debtor Castle Arch Star Valley, LLC with CAREIC as of October 17, 2011 [Main Case Docket No. 590] (the "Consolidation Order"), and these entities, as consolidated, have hereinafter been referred to as the "Consolidated Legacy Debtors."

9. Also on February 8, 2013, the Court entered its *Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in Support* of the Consolidation Order [Main Case Docket No. 591] (the "Consolidation Findings and Conclusions").

The Debtors' Pre-Petition Business Scheme

10. Prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Cases, CAREIC managed, either directly or indirectly, all of the CAREIC Affiliates through a single paid management team. Consolidation Findings and Conclusions ¶¶ 17 & 107(c).

11. The Consolidated Legacy Debtors claimed to be in the business of investing in and developing raw land, and CAOP I and CAOP II were in the business of investing in distressed properties.

12. The Debtors raised a total of \$73,593,717.00 (as reported in the Debtors' Master Tracking Sheet maintained to track investor funds), net of redemptions, from investors during the period of May 2004 through the filing of the Bankruptcy Cases. Consolidation Findings and Conclusions ¶ 34 & Exhibit F.

13. Although property was purchased by the Debtors, “a large portion of the Legacy Debtors’ business focused on fundraising.” Consolidation Findings and Conclusions ¶ 41; *see id.* ¶¶ 40-45.

14. Upon information and belief, approximately 25% of all funds raised by the Debtors were used for executive compensation and related expenses and fundraising expenses, such as finders’ fees and commissions. *Id.* ¶ 42.

15. CAREIC caused each of the Debtors to be formed as “a vehicle by which to obtain additional investor funds[.]” Consolidation Findings and Conclusions ¶ 107(d). In particular, as cash was consumed and additional cash was needed, CAREIC caused new securities offerings to be made, initially through CAREIC alone, and then later through the other Debtors that CAREIC cause to be formed. Consolidation Findings and Conclusions ¶ 35 & Exhibits F-G.

16. Cash raised from investors was “used indiscriminately by the Debtors to fund whatever entity was in need of cash at any given time.” Consolidation Findings and Conclusions ¶ 25; *see also id.* ¶¶ 46-59 & 107(b). Cash was used “as if part of one big ‘piggy bank,’ with funds from the account of whichever entity had cash on deposit being transferred, commingled, and used by the entity in need of cash at any given time.” *Id.* ¶ 58.

17. The Private Placement Memoranda issued by the Debtors to investors stated that investments were being solicited through licensed brokers.

18. At all times relevant hereto, the Debtor-transferors were insolvent.

19. At all relevant times hereto, the relevant Debtors had at least one unsecured creditor.

Transfers to Defendant

20. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a summary prepared from the Debtors' books and records in the Trustee's custody and control of transfers of cash made by the Debtors noted thereon to the Defendant prior to the filing of the Bankruptcy Cases (the "Transfers").

21. Upon information and belief, the Debtors noted on Exhibit 1 made the Transfers to the Defendant in the total amount of \$51,339.88 as compensation for soliciting investments in, referring investors to, or raising funds for the Debtors.

22. Upon information and belief, the Transfers were made by the Debtors at a time when Defendant was not a licensed securities broker or dealer authorized to sell or solicit investments in securities.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A))

23. The Trustee re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

24. The Transfers were transfers of an interest of the relevant Debtors in property.

25. The Transfers or any obligation of the Debtors to make the Transfers were made or incurred with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud the relevant Debtors' creditors.

26. To the extent any Transfers were made or incurred by the Debtors within two years of the relevant Debtors' petition dates, such Transfers are avoidable by the Trustee under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B))

27. The Trustee re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

28. The Transfers were transfers of an interest of the Debtors in property.

29. The relevant Debtors did not receive reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or any obligation of the Debtors to make the Transfers.

30. At the time the Transfers were made or the obligations were incurred, the relevant Debtors (a) were insolvent or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers or the obligations incurred; (b) were engaged in a business or transaction, or were about to engage in a business or transaction for which any property remaining with the Debtors was unreasonably small capital; or (c) intended to incur, or believed that they would incur, debts that would be beyond their ability to pay as such debts matured.

31. To the extent the Transfers were made by the Debtors within two years of the relevant Debtors' petition dates, such Transfers are avoidable by the Trustee under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B).

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and Utah Code Annotated §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8)

32. The Trustee re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

33. The Transfers were transfers of an interest of the relevant Debtors in property.

34. The Transfers were made or were based on obligations incurred with actual intent to hinder, delay or defraud the relevant Debtors' creditors.

35. The Transfers are avoidable by the Trustee under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and Utah Code Annotated §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8)

36. The Trustee re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

37. The Transfers were transfers of an interest of the relevant Debtors in property.

38. The relevant Debtors did not receive reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or any obligation of the Debtors to make the Transfers.

39. At the time the Transfers were made or the obligations were incurred, the relevant Debtors (a) were engaged or were about to engage in a business or a transaction for which the remaining assets of the Debtors were unreasonably small in relation to the business or transaction; or (b) intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed that they would incur debts beyond their ability to pay as they became due.

40. The Transfers are avoidable by the Trustee under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Transfers Under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8)

41. The Trustee re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

42. The relevant Debtors did not receive reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the Transfers or any obligation of the Debtors to make the Transfers.

43. The relevant Debtors were insolvent at the time the Transfers or any obligations to make the Transfers were made, or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers.

44. The Transfers are avoidable by the Trustee under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Recovery of Avoided Transfers Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 550 and 551)

45. The Trustee re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

46. To the extent the Transfers were made within two years of the relevant Debtors' petition dates, the Transfers are avoidable under 11 U.S.C. §548(a)(1)(A) or (B).

47. The Transfers are avoidable under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5, 25-6-6, and 25-6-8.

48. The Trustee may recover and preserve for the benefit of the respective Trusts the Transfers under 11 U.S.C. §§ 550 and 551.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Constructive Trust)

49. The Trustee re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

50. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of the Debtors and were made by the respective Debtors improperly or are based on illegal obligations because any agreement to make payments to the Defendant was related to the unlicensed sale of securities.

51. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.

52. The Transfers can be traced to the wrongful behavior of the Debtors, their officers, and/or Defendant.

53. An injustice would result if Defendant was allowed to keep the Transfers.

54. A constructive trust for the benefit of the respective Trusts must be imposed in the amount of the Transfers made to Defendant.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
(Unjust Enrichment and Disgorgement)

55. The Trustee re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference each of the preceding allegations as if set forth completely herein.

56. The Transfers to Defendant were comprised of property of the Debtors.

57. The Transfers conferred a benefit upon Defendant.

58. Upon information and belief, Defendant knowingly benefitted from the Transfers.

59. Allowing Defendant to retain the Transfers would unjustly enrich Defendant and would be inequitable.

60. Absent return of the Transfers, the Trusts will be damaged by Defendant's unjust enrichment and may have no adequate remedy at law.

61. Defendant must disgorge the amount of the Transfers for the benefit of the respective Trusts.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Receiver prays for Judgment against Defendant as follows:

A. Pursuant to the Trustee's First Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers made on or within two years of the relevant Debtors' petition dates under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(A).

B. Pursuant to the Trustee's Second Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers made on or within two years of the relevant Debtors' petition dates under 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B).

C. Pursuant to the Trustee's Third Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(a) and 25-6-8.

D. Pursuant to the Trustee's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-5(1)(b) and 25-6-8.

E. Pursuant to the Trustee's Fifth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant avoiding the Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and Utah Code Ann. §§ 25-6-6(1) and 25-6-8.

F. Pursuant to the Trustee's Sixth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant recovering and preserving for the benefit of the respective Trusts all avoided Transfers under 11 U.S.C. §§ 550 and 551.

G. Pursuant to the Trustee's Seventh Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant imposing a constructive trust for the benefit of the Trusts on all Transfers made to Defendant.

H. Pursuant to the Trustee's Eighth Claim for Relief, judgment against Defendant for unjust enrichment in the amount of the Transfers.

I. Judgment for pre-judgment interest, costs, and fees, including reasonable attorneys' fees, as may be allowed by law.

J. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 14th day of October, 2013.

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

/s/ Peggy Hunt

Peggy Hunt
Milo Steven Marsden
Nathan S. Seim
*Attorneys for D. Ray Strong, Trustee of the
Legacy Trust*

McKAY, BURTON & THURMAN

/s/ Gregory J. Adams

Gregory J. Adams
*Attorneys for D. Ray Strong, Trustee
of the CAOP I Trust*

EXHIBIT 1

LEGACY TRUST / CAOP I TRUST
Finder's Fee Analysis (Michael Buckner)

<u>Trans Date</u>	<u>Clear Date</u>	<u>Ck No.</u>	<u>Payee / Payor</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Consolidated Legacy Debtor				
04/26/07	06/11/07	1255	Mike Buckner	\$ (18,000.00)
06/18/07	06/26/07	1293	Michael Buckner	(1,778.43)
07/03/07	07/17/07	6887	Buckner, Michael	(448.00)
07/25/07	08/06/07	1360	Mike Buckner	(225.97)
08/01/07	08/14/07	1375	Mike Buckner	(495.02)
09/10/07	09/12/07	1411	Michael Buckner	(548.65)
10/09/07	10/15/07	7537	Buckner, Michael	(798.08)
11/05/07	11/07/07	7572	Buckner, Michael	(485.50)
11/21/07	12/10/07	7632	Buckner, Michael	(549.00)
12/05/07	12/10/07	7664	Buckner, Michael	(488.08)
01/04/08	01/09/08	7759	Buckner, Michael	(634.41)
02/06/08	02/19/08	7830	Buckner, Michael	(1,195.28)
03/03/08	03/06/08	7898	Buckner, Michael	(394.34)
03/20/08	03/24/08	7919	Buckner, Michael	(318.88)
04/04/08	04/10/08	7957	Buckner, Michael	(502.90)
05/13/08	05/19/08	7108	Buckner, Michael	(613.17)
06/09/08	06/11/08	7216	Buckner, Michael	(625.25)
06/30/08	06/30/08	WIRE	Buckner, Michael	(540.95)
07/31/08	08/01/08	7363	Buckner, Michael	(940.86)
09/03/08	09/08/08	8006	Buckner, Michael	(552.42)
09/24/08	09/29/08	8047	Buckner, Michael	(722.58)
11/03/08	11/05/08	8170	Buckner, Michael	(502.99)
12/19/08	01/06/09	8246	Buckner, Michael	(696.54)
02/04/09	02/09/09	8352	Buckner, Michael	(600.56)
03/04/09	03/09/09	8402	Buckner, Michael	(580.65)
03/31/09	04/02/09	8462	Buckner, Michael	(1,253.51)
04/17/09	04/21/09	8506	Buckner, Michael	(762.43)
05/07/09	05/07/09	ACH	Buckner, Michael	(3,264.85)
06/01/09	06/03/09	8571	Buckner, Michael	(666.44)
07/02/09	07/06/09	8642	Buckner, Michael	(1,006.11)
07/29/09	07/30/09	8666	Buckner, Michael	(817.09)
08/21/09	08/25/09	8698	Buckner, Michael	(956.83)
09/28/09	10/02/09	8756	Buckner, Michael	(943.68)
10/30/09	11/03/09	8780	Buckner, Michael	(807.00)
11/24/09	11/27/09	1002	Buckner, Michael	(677.38)
12/21/09	12/24/09	1029	Buckner, Michael	(604.37)
01/29/10	02/02/10	1064	Buckner, Michael	(521.97)
05/04/10	05/12/10	1133	Buckner, Michael	(469.13)
06/03/10	06/08/10	1160	Buckner, Michael	(484.13)
06/30/10	07/08/10	1177	Buckner, Michael	(469.13)
08/04/10	08/10/10	1189	Buckner, Michael	(469.13)
09/09/10	09/17/10	1209	Buckner, Michael	(90.00)
10/05/10	10/07/10	1235	Buckner, Michael	(1,970.33)
05/05/11	05/11/11	1306	Michael L Buckner	(155.00)

Total Legacy Debtor Payments \$ (49,627.02)

<u>Trans Date</u>	<u>Clear Date</u>	<u>Ck No.</u>	<u>Payee / Payor</u>	<u>Amount</u>
CAOP I				
03/03/10	03/08/10	119	Buckner, Michael	(1,211.35)
04/05/10	04/07/10	142	Buckner, Michael	(501.51)
Total CAOP I Payments				\$ (1,712.86)
Total Payments				\$ (51,339.88)